Plaintiffs, however, failed to meet this deadline. Nevertheless, upon Plaintiffs' request for leave, the Court permitted Plaintiffs' untimely First Amended Complaint to be filed and processed. In doing so, the Court cautioned Plaintiffs that "[i]n the future, further leave of this nature w[ould] not be so readily granted." (Dkt. No. 20.)

Currently before the Court is EMC's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint. (Dkt. No. 22.) Pursuant to Local Rule 7-9, Plaintiffs' Opposition was due on August 22, 2011. Yet, to date, Plaintiffs have failed to oppose EMC's Motion, which may be deemed consent to the granting of the Motion. *See* L.R. 7-12. Upon considering EMC's arguments in support of its Motion and because Plaintiffs appear unwilling or unable to properly prosecute their claims against EMC, the Court hereby **GRANTS** EMC's Motion to Dismiss. All claims against EMC are hereby **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**. The September 12, 2011 hearing on this matter is **VACATED** and no appearances are necessary.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

August 30, 2011

HON. OTIS D. WRIGHT, II UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

the AU/sight